



Inhibitory Defects in Chinese Children with Developmental Dyslexia

Enguo Wang^{1,†}, Wu Kai¹, Wangchao², Tian Yuan¹

ABSTRACT

There is a big difference between Chinese and English. There are quite a few studies on the neural mechanism of Chinese developmental dyslexia. As the reading process is inseparable from working memory, inhibition, and other higher cognitive processes, the deep cognitive processing defects that are associated with dyslexia may be due to defective distraction inhibition systems. We used event-related potential technology to explore the source of negative priming effects in children with developmental dyslexia and in a group of healthy children for comparison. These results indicate that there are deficits in distraction inhibition in children with developmental dyslexia. In terms of the time course of processing, inhibition deficits in the dyslexia group appeared during early-stage cognition selection and lasted through the response selection phase. The results of our study may help further our understanding of the intrinsic causes of developmental dyslexia.

Keywords

Inhibition, Negative priming, Developmental dyslexia

Introduction

As the reading process is inseparable from working memory, inhibition, and other higher cognitive processes, the deep cognitive processing defects that are associated with dyslexia may be due to defective distraction inhibition systems. Currently, researchers believe that the central difficulty in developmental dyslexia reflects a deficit within the language system, especially in the lower level component of phonology, which has been defined as the ability to access the underlying sound structure of words [1]. Several studies have shown that among school-aged children, the global incidence of developmental dyslexia is 5%-10% [2]. However, a study by Zhou et al. [3] found that the incidence of developmental dyslexia among Chinese children is slightly lower at 4%-8%.

Like Japanese, the Chinese language is different from alphabetical languages. In most cases, dyslexia in Chinese children appears at the single-character level and manifests as processing deficits in various aspects of phonology, morphology, and semantics or children may have difficulties integrating information from all three aspects. Chinese individuals with developmental dyslexia are a heterogeneous group with complex and varied disease etiologies. Theoretical explanations for developmental dyslexia in the Chinese population can be placed into two categories: language-specific theories and non-linguistic theories [4,5]. The language-specific theories mainly focus on the characterization and processing of speech information in children with dyslexia. Research has shown that individuals with dyslexia have deficits in several critical processing steps including phonological

¹Institute of Psychology and Behavior, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China

²Wuhan University School of the Arts, China

[†]Author for correspondence: Enguo Wang, Institute of Psychology and Behavior, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China, Tel: 86 037123881801/8613460750988; Fax: 86037123881801; email: enguowang@126.com

awareness, orthography, and Morphological awareness. There has been substantial achievement in this area, and a certain level of agreement has been reached [6-10]. As for non-linguistic theories, it has recently been proposed that the linguistic deficits in dyslexia may be explicit symptoms of deep cognitive processing deficits [2,11-15]. During reading, the basic decoding of phonology and morphology cannot be separated from higher cognitive processing abilities such as working memory, processing speed, executive function, and attention.

Accordingly, it has been suggested that deficits in executive function may be present in individuals with developmental dyslexia. For example, deficits in verbal and figural fluency abilities, response inhibition and on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test have been documented in individuals with developmental dyslexia. Deficits in phonological awareness are often considered as the core problem of developmental dyslexia, but impairments in inhibition have also been reported. Theoretical accounts of reading emphasize the important role of behavioral inhibition in the reading process [16], as poor behavioral inhibition may contribute to poor letter and word recognition. For instance, children with developmental dyslexia have to inhibit inadequate grapheme-phoneme correspondences (for instance reading “p” as “b,” “m” as “n,” or “nam” as “man”). Failure to inhibit the improper (though more dominant) pronunciations might impair word recognition performance in a more profound manner.

Research has also found that children with dyslexia have significant deficits in attention shifting, attention allocation, and in their attention span. Compared to healthy children, children with dyslexia are prone to distraction by irrelevant information and have selective attention deficits [12,17]. During tests of sustained attention, the number of false alarms reported by children with reading difficulties was higher than that in the control group. On the Stroop test, under the conditions of inconsistent word meaning and word color, children with dyslexia had longer response times and made more intrusion errors than did children in the control group. These results indicate that children with dyslexia not only process information slowly, but also have low attention automatization and slow attention shifting. Collectively, these studies demonstrate that children with dyslexia have deficits in selective attention.

The use of event-related potentials (ERPs) is an effective research method for accurately investigating the processing time course of the negative priming effect.

Regarding the ERP responses, the negative priming effects in the developmental dyslexia and control groups mainly manifested in the early components. The P100 amplitude in the parietal area of the control group was significantly higher than that of the dyslexia group. Similarly, the N100 amplitude in the parietal area of the dyslexia group was significantly higher than that of the control group. For P200, neither the amplitudes nor the latencies were significantly different between the groups. However, the average P300 amplitudes at the F3, F4, and Fz electrodes in the frontal area of the dyslexia group were higher than the amplitudes of the control group. Some studies have shown that in negative priming tasks, the P100 reflects early-stage, fast processing and selective attention to stimuli, while the N100 reflects concentrated attention to the target stimuli and the process of distinguishing the stimuli at the center of attention. Moreover, it has been suggested that the amplitude of the P200 component reflects allocation of the cognitive resources that are required for evaluating the processed stimuli, while the P300 reflects the cognitive effort needed to process distracting stimuli [18,19]. In the present study, the average LPC amplitudes in the central and frontal regions were significantly higher in the dyslexia group than in the control group, and remained higher until stimulus offset. This result demonstrated the presence of deficits in the location inhibition ability of children with developmental dyslexia. The processing time course showed that this deficit appeared both in the early cognition selection and processing phases, as well as in the later response selection phase. Additionally, the response selection inhibition ability was mainly located in the frontal and central regions of the cerebral cortex.

The inhibition mechanism is an important factor that affects individual differences in language comprehension abilities. The process of language comprehension requires the construction of a series of psychological features including the foundation, mapping, and shifting features, and is regulated by excitation and inhibition. Individual differences in comprehension abilities depend on the efficiency of the inhibitory mechanism. Individuals with low comprehension abilities cannot suppress the distractions induced by irrelevant information in a timely and

effective manner, which causes more shifting and the formation of more sub-structures. Therefore, irrelevant information affects the entire reading process [20].

Conclusion

In the present study, we found that although the duration of the negative priming effect was short in the cognition phase, the formation of negative priming still required additional time after selection was completed. Furthermore, after a response was made to the cognitive target, the negative priming effect did not disappear immediately, but continued to affect the processing of subsequent items, as significant differences were observed even after 800 ms between the two groups. Negative priming represents an inhibitory effect. Thus, inhibition is produced after cognition selection and, in terms of brain regions, the inhibition effect occurs at mid-level regions within the frontal and central areas. The results of previous studies may explain the location inhibition features exhibited by the two groups [2,21,22]. In location negative priming tasks, the dyslexia group had enhanced N100 components in the parietal area compared to the components in the control group. This indicates that the dyslexia group had to utilize more cognitive resources in the cognition selection phase of early-stage processing compared to the control group. Thus, the cognitive selection of objects requires the observer to inhibit distractions by irrelevant information, and the effective inhibition of irrelevant information is more difficult for the dyslexia group. In the following response selection phase, the amplitudes of the P300 and LPC in the dyslexia group in the frontal and central regions were higher than the P300 and LPC of the control group. This indicates that children with dyslexia had to dedicate

more cognitive processing resources in order to suppress irrelevant information, thus there are deficits in the distraction inhibition abilities of children with dyslexia. This result corresponds to the behavioral data. Furthermore, some research has shown that location inhibition is related to pathways in the dorsal and frontal regions, and our results are consistent with this conclusion [18].

Chinese is a graphic language, with words and sentences organized in a certain location sequence. Different relationships among the location sequences represent different meanings; therefore, spatial-location ability plays a vital role in the spelling and reading of Chinese. In addition, the reading process requires the involvement of a series of cognitive visuospatial location processes, such as visuospatial location scanning, recognition, analysis, and storage [8,10]. Deficits in location inhibition abilities may be one of the causes of dyslexia, particularly in the Chinese population. These results indicate that there are deficits in distraction inhibition in children with developmental dyslexia. In terms of the time course of processing, inhibition deficits in the dyslexia group appeared during early-stage cognition selection and lasted through the response selection phase. Regarding the cerebral cortex locations, early-stage cognition selection was mainly located in the parietal region, while late-stage response selection was mainly located in the frontal and central regions.

Acknowledgments

The National Natural Science Foundation provided funding (31371051). Henan Science and Technology Project (182102410006). Henan Province higher education philosophy and social science research outstanding scholar (2018-YXXX-03).

References

1. Wagner M, Baving L, Berg P, et al. An ERP Investigation of Semantic Priming, Repetition Priming, and Negative Priming in Schizophrenic Patients. *J. Psychophysiology* 20(3), 195-211 (2006).
2. Van Doren JA, Kaltner S, Jansen P. Neuronal correlates of mental rotation performance in children with developmental dyslexia. *Neuroreport* 25(1), 34-38 (2014).
3. Zhou XL, Meng XZ, Chen YZ. Functional brain imaging studies of developmental dyslexia. *Chin. J. Neurosci* 18(2), 568-572 (2002).
4. Wang EG, Shen DL, Lv Y, et al. Language learning disability children Chinese memory coding neural mechanism research. *Psychological. Deve. Edu* 2(1), 57-66 (2011).
5. Christmann CA, Lachmann T, Berti S. Earlier timbre processing of instrumental tones compared to equally complex spectrally rotated sounds as revealed by the mismatch negativity. *Neurosci. Lett* 581(1), 115-119 (2014).
6. Jin H, He Y, Mo L et al. Explore the Chinese developmental dyslexia diagnosis method. *South. China. Normal. Univ* 10(2), 39-43 (2009).
7. Li H, Peng H, Shu H. The emergence and development of orthographic awareness of Chinese Children. *Psychological. Deve. Edu* 1(1), 35-38 (2006).
8. Lin O, Wang ZK, Meng XZ. Chinese developmental dyslexia children perceptual learning. *Acta. Psychologica. Sinica* 45(7), 762-772 (2013).
9. Liu XP, Hou DM, Yang S, et al. Dyslexic children Characteristics of Chinese character

- recognition. *Psychological. Deve. Edu* 2(1), 7-11 (2004).
10. Meng XZ, Shu H, Zhou XL, *et al.* Different reading levels of children's characters recognition. *Acta. Psychologica. Sinica* 32(2), 133-138 (2000).
 11. Chen CP, Sui ZY, Cheng DZ, *et al.* ERP study of information processing learning disabilities. *Psychological. Sci* 32(2), 399-400 (2009).
 12. Lobier M, Zoubrinetzky R, Valdois S. The visual attention span deficit in dyslexia is visual and not verbal. *Cortex* 48(1), 768-773 (2012).
 13. Luo Y, Wang J, Wu HR. Chinese Developmental disorders in children, as the correlation between spatial working memory and language cognition. *Chin. J. Child. Health. Care* 19(10), 881-886 (2011).
 14. Menghini D, Finzi A, Carlesimo GA, *et al.* Working Memory Impairment in Children With Developmental Dyslexia: Is it Just a Phonological Deficity?. *Dev. Neuropsychol* 36(2), 199-213 (2011).
 15. Sui X, Wang Y, Ma LB. Chinese developmental dyslexia children cognitive defects of research. *China. Special. Edu* 3(1), 4-47 (2008).
 16. Schmid JM, Labuhn AS, Hasselhorn M. Response inhibition and its relationship to phonological processing in children with and without dyslexia. *Int. J. Disabil. Dev. Ed* 58(1), 19-32 (2011).
 17. Peyrin C, Lallier M, Démonet JF, *et al.* Neural dissociation of phonological and visual attention span disorders in developmental dyslexia: fMRI evidence from two case reports. *Brain. Lang* 120(3), 381-394 (2012).
 18. Hideya K, Glenna AB, Ferraro FR. The relationship between cognitive ability and positive and negative priming in identify and spatial priming tasks. *J. Gen. Psychol* 127(4), 372-382 (2000).
 19. Tipper SP, Cranston M. Selective attention and priming: Inhibitory and facilitatory effects of ignored primes. *Q. J. Exp. Psychol. A* 37(A), 581-611 (1985).
 20. Beni RD, Palladino P, Pazzaglia F, *et al.* Increases in intrusion errors and Working Memory Deficit of Poor Comprehenders. *Q. J. Exp. Psychol. A* 51(2), 305-320 (1998).
 21. Friedmann N, Kerbel N, Shvimer L. Developmental attentional dyslexia. *Cortex* 46(10), 1216-1237 (2010).
 22. Lallier M, Donnadieu S, Berger C, *et al.* A case study of developmental phonological dyslexia: Is the attentional deficit in rapid stimuli sequences processing a modal?. *Cortex* 46(10), 231-241 (2010).