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Abstract

Objective: the aim was to identify in 511 outpatients, with diagnoses of schizophrenia, major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar disorders (BD), and borderline personality disorder (BPD), what clinical variables predict the improvement of real-world functioning during 12 months of usual treatment.

Methods: sociodemographic variables were evaluated. Patients were assessed at baseline and endpoint with: Clinical Global Impression Scale - Severity (CGI-S); Satisfaction Profile (SAT-P), Drug Attitude Inventory-10 (DAI-10), and Personal and Social Performance (PSP). Statistical analysis was performed with Chi-square test and Pearson’s correlation. Significant variables were included in a multiple regression analysis. Dependent variable was the difference of PSP after one year (ΔPSP). P ≤ 0.05 was significant.

Results: in the total sample, ΔPSP was related to age of onset, SAT-P and psychotherapy and inversely related to suicide attempts and CGI-S. In schizophrenia, ΔPSP was related to SAT-P and DAI-10 and inversely related to CGI-S. In MDD, ΔPSP was related to SAT-P and psychotherapy and inversely related to suicide attempts and CGI-S. In BD, ΔPSP was related to SAT-P and inversely related to CGI-S. In BPD, only psychotherapy was related to ΔPSP.

Conclusion: Symptoms severity predicted a worse community functioning in the total sample and in each group, except for BPD. Suicide attempts were inversely associated to functional improvement in the total sample and depression. Quality of life was related to the change of functioning in each group, except for BPD. Patients with MMD and BPD receiving psychotherapy gained a better functional improvement. Attitude to pharmacotherapy was related to functional improvement only in schizophrenia.

Keywords

Real-world functioning, Schizophrenia, Bipolar disorder, Major depressive disorder, Borderline personality disorder, Outpatients, Naturalistic study.

Introduction

Real life disability produced by mental illness is responsible for the considerable costs of psychiatric disturbances in terms of expensive medical care and lost days at work. In the last years, after obtaining significant results in treating symptoms of mental disorders, authors focused their investigations on the real-world functioning of severe psychiatric patients. The everyday performance includes general organization, communication skills, finance management, independent living, medication management, and social interactions. With regard to this concept, there is a core distinction...
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between functional capacity (the ability to obtain a good level of functioning under optimal conditions) and real-world functioning (the patient’s actual performance when he has to face the real circumstances of his life and environment) [1].

Initial studies in this field were performed in patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia, a major psychiatric disturbance inducing a high degree of impairment in main areas of everyday life (independent living, employment, marital status, and social relationships) [2-4]. Several studies aimed to identify the predictive factors of functioning concluded that the achievement of the real-life functional milestones, such as educational level, independence in housing, current work situation, marital status, and quantity and quality of social contacts, depends on different variables, related to the characteristics of the disorders, the individual resources, and the context of patient’s life [5-8].

In more recent years studies on this topic were extended to other mental disorders, in particular bipolar disorder (BP). Because of the chronic course of BP patients showed a rate of achievement of functional milestones significantly lower than general population [9,10]. Main findings suggested that mood symptoms and illness characteristics had a significant impact on a broad range of everyday expressions of functioning. Impaired community functioning has been reported in all phases of illness, including periods of euthymia [11,12]. In addition, authors found that episodes of depression are more detrimental to real-life functioning than mania [13]. In particular, attitudes such as giving up and self-blame are significant predictors of real-world functioning beyond sub-threshold depressive symptoms [14].

Only one systematic review is available on assessment of real-world functioning in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) [15]. Authors evaluated the negative impact of major depression on quality of life, disability, work, family, and general psychosocial functioning. They concluded that depressive symptoms negatively affect real-life functioning and that the impairment of quality of life and functioning at baseline is significantly related to poor treatment outcome [15-17].

At the moment, a few authors [18,19] have investigated in samples of patients with severe personality disorders concepts such as social and occupational functioning. However, these concepts are partly different from that of real-world functioning that measures the actual performance obtained in everyday context and combines the patient’s functional capacity with the real opportunities available in the environment.

The present study is a naturalistic investigation aimed to identify in a sample of outpatients, including patients with schizophrenia; bipolar disorders; major depressive disorder, and borderline personality disorder, whether different variables, including psychiatric symptoms, onset and duration of illness, attitude to treatments, type of treatment and subjective quality of life may predict a change of the real-world functioning.

Methods

This study was conducted on 511 outpatients who attended the Psychiatric Clinic of the Department of Neuroscience of the University of Turin and completed a period of 12 months of usual treatment. They were recruited between June 2015 and May 2016. Inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of: 1) major depressive disorder; 2) bipolar disorders; 3) schizophrenia; 4) borderline personality disorder, according to DSM-5, and an age ranged between 18 and 60 years. Exclusion criteria were: a lifetime diagnosis of delirium, major and mild neurocognitive disorders. Diagnoses were made by an expert clinician and were confirmed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I or II disorders [20,21].

All subjects were submitted to standard care, provided in community mental health centers in Italy, including pharmacological treatments as recommended by the guidelines, clinical monitoring at least on a monthly basis, and psychological interventions for selected patients. In particular, the two groups of patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) and borderline personality disorder (BPD) were treated with interpersonal psychotherapy. Subjects with MDD received traditional IPT lasting 16 weeks (International Society of Interpersonal Psychotherapy - iSIP, www.interpersonalpsychotherapy.org), while BPD patients received the adaptation of IPT to BPD (IPT-BPD), lasting 40 weeks [22]. Patients in the other two diagnostic categories (schizophrenia and bipolar disorder) were not treated with psychotherapy in our study.
The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of our University Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to their participation. Declaration of Helsinki guidelines were followed.

At baseline, a series of sociodemographic variables were evaluated with a semi-structured interview. Categorical variables were recorded as “yes/no” and included: gender (male/female), positive psychiatric anamnesis (previous request of psychiatric assistance/treatment), suicide attempts, treatment with antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, antidepressants, and/or psychotherapy. Treatment with medications was recorded asking directly to the patients and caregivers what they had been taking.

Continuous variables included age (years), age at onset (age of first psychiatric visit), education (years at school), and illness duration (years from the first psychiatric visit).

Patients were assessed at baseline with the following instruments: the severity item of the Clinical Global Impression Scale (CGI-S) [23]; the Personal and Social Performance (PSP) [24]; the Satisfaction Profile (SAT-P) [25]; and the Drug Attitude Inventory-10 (DAI-10) [26]. The same instruments were re-administered after 12 months of usual care.

The SAT-P is a self-administered questionnaire consisting of 32 scales which provides a satisfaction profile in daily life and can be considered as an indicator of subjective quality of life. The SAT-P considers five different factors: (1) psychological functioning; (2) physical functioning; (3) work; (4) sleep; (5) food, and (6) free time; (7) social functioning. The SAT-P asks the patient to evaluate his satisfaction in the last month for each of the 32 life aspects on a scale ranging from “extremely dissatisfied” (0) to “extremely satisfied” (100). The score used in this study is the “factors-related score”. For each factor was attributed a score range between 0 and 100.

The DAI-10 is the short-version of the DAI-30. It is a self-report scale measuring patients’ subjective response and attitude toward drugs therapy. The DAI-10 scoring ranges from -10 to +10 with a total score >0 indicating a positive attitude toward psychiatric medications and a total score of <0 indicating a negative attitude toward psychiatric medications.

Attainment in functional milestones were recorded as follows: (1) employment status (employed/not employed); (2) residential status (independent/dependent); (3) marital status/stable relationships (married/separated/divorced Subjects or individuals with long-term relationship or equivalent versus not married patients or subjects without long-term relationship or equivalent).

Statistical analysis was performed using the software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS, version 22 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test for categorical variables and Pearson's correlation for continuous variables were performed. Pearson's correlation between the following continuous variables and change of PSP score were calculated: age, level of education, age of illness onset, duration of illness, number of hospitalizations, drug attitude (DAI-10 score), level of global symptoms (CGI-S score), subjective perception of quality of life (SAT-P score). The following categorical variables were evaluated with the chi-square test: gender, positive psychiatric anamnesis, psychiatric diagnosis, record of suicide attempts, use of antipsychotics; use of antidepressants, use of mood stabilizers, and ongoing psychotherapies.

All variables that were found significant were included in a multiple regression analysis (stepwise backward). Dependent variable was...
the difference of PSP score between one year and baseline (ΔPSP).

At first time, bivariate analyses and multiple regression were performed in the whole sample of patients. Afterwards, analyses were repeated in each subsample of patients with diagnosis of schizophrenia; major depressive disorder; bipolar disorders; borderline personality disorder. Significance level was \( P \leq 0.05 \).

**Results**

Among the 511 outpatients of our sample the diagnoses were distributed as following: 16.4% patients had a diagnosis of schizophrenia; 49.5% patients a diagnosis of major depressive disorder; 17.6% patients of bipolar disorders, and 16.4% patients of borderline personality disorder. One hundred and eighty nine patients were male (36.98 %), the mean age was 50.99±16.41 (Mean ±SD) years and the level of education was 11.28 ± 4.167 (Mean ±SD). Baseline characteristics of the total sample and of the four diagnostic groups are described in Table 1.

In the whole sample of 511 outpatients continuous variables that were found significant were: age of illness onset (\( r = 0.126; P = 0.007 \)); DAI-10 (\( r = 0.212; P = 0.001 \)); CGI-S (\( r = -0.109; P = 0.01 \)) and SAT-P (\( r = 0.606; P = 0.001 \)). Significant categorical variables were: record of suicide attempts (\( \chi^2 = -61.30; P = 0.001 \)); use of antipsychotics (\( \chi^2 = -61.30; P = 0.001 \)); CGI-S (\( r = -0.242; P = 0.001 \)); SAT-P (\( r = 0.788; P = 0.001 \)); record of suicide attempts (\( \chi^2 = -48.89; P = 0.03 \)); use of mood stabilizers (\( \chi^2 = 50.56; P = 0.02 \)); use of antidepressants (\( \chi^2 = 64.58; P = 0.001 \)); and psychotherapy (\( \chi^2 = 103.97; P = 0.001 \)). Variables significantly related to PSP change with the multiple regression analysis were: SAT-P (\( P = 0.001 \)) and psychotherapy (\( P = 0.001 \)). Record of suicide attempts (\( P = 0.04 \)) and CGI-S (\( P = 0.003 \)) were inversely related to PSP change.

In the subgroup of patients with a diagnosis of bipolar disorders the following variables were found significant with Pearson’s correlation and Chi-square test: age of illness onset (\( r = 0.254; P = 0.02 \)); duration of illness (\( r = -0.224; P = 0.04 \)); CGI-S (\( r = -0.489; P = 0.001 \)); DAI-10 (\( r = 0.398; P = 0.001 \)); and SAT-P (\( r = 0.731; P = 0.001 \)). Variable significantly related to PSP change with the multiple regression analysis was SAT-P (\( P = 0.001 \)). CGI-S (\( P = 0.006 \)) was inversely related to PSP improvement.

Finally, in the subgroup of patients with borderline personality disorder we found the following significant variables with Pearson’s correlation and Chi-square test: DAI-10 (\( r = 0.384; P = 0.001 \)); CGI-S (\( r = -0.361; P = 0.001 \)); use of antipsychotics (\( \chi^2 = 33.59; P = 0.04 \)); and psychotherapy (\( \chi^2 = 81.23; P = 0.001 \)). Variable significantly related to PSP change with the multiple regression analysis was psychotherapy (\( P = 0.006 \)).

Significant results of multiple regression analyses calculated in the total sample and in the four diagnostic groups are summarized in Table 2.

**Discussion**

Impairment in real-world functioning is a common feature of major psychiatric disorders. It is characterized by difficulties in achieving life milestones, including independent living, employment, and stable interpersonal relationships. Recent literature data suggested that several factors may influence the functional outcome in real world, in particular quality of life, adherence to treatments and severity of psychiatric symptoms [7,8,28,29]. The aim of the present study was to identify whether several
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Variables, including psychiatric symptoms, onset and duration of illness, hospitalizations, suicide attempts, attitude to treatments, pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and subjective perception of quality of life, are predictive of the change of the global measure of real-world functioning during one year of usual outpatient treatment in a large sample of subjects with a diagnosis of schizophrenia, bipolar disorders, major depression, or borderline personality disorder.

Previous investigations have been performed about the clinical and demographic predictive factors of real-world functioning in a single evaluation, but to our knowledge this is the first study that evaluated the relationship between these factors and the improvement of real-world functioning over a time span. Thus, our findings are only partially comparable with those of other trials.

The main results of our study showed that improvement of real-world functioning in the whole sample, measured with the change of PSP between baseline and one year of usual management, was directly and independently related to the age of illness onset, the subjective perception of quality of life, and the intervention with psychotherapy. On the other hand, the

Table 1: Demographic and clinical variables in the whole sample of 511 outpatients and in the four subgroups: schizophrenia, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and borderline personality disorder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total sample</th>
<th>Schizophrenia</th>
<th>MDD</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>BPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>50.99 ± 16.41</td>
<td>45.55 ± 16.65</td>
<td>54.43 ± 15.99</td>
<td>53.87 ± 13.91</td>
<td>43.02 ± 16.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>39.47 ± 18.99</td>
<td>28.21 ± 9.96</td>
<td>44.61 ± 17.03</td>
<td>43.85 ± 24.64</td>
<td>31.64 ± 16.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>11.28 ± 4.17</td>
<td>10.71 ± 3.69</td>
<td>11.23 ± 4.21</td>
<td>10.91 ± 4.37</td>
<td>12.37 ± 4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness duration (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>12.32 ± 12.68</td>
<td>16.65 ± 12.54</td>
<td>11.63 ± 13.76</td>
<td>12.87 ± 11</td>
<td>8.95 ± 10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>4.11 ± 1.06</td>
<td>5.23 ± 0.72</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.63</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.80</td>
<td>4.98 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSP (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>64.82 ± 11.01</td>
<td>58.11 ± 11.03</td>
<td>66.17 ± 9.29</td>
<td>66.84 ± 11.95</td>
<td>65.31 ± 12.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAI-10 (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>2.03 ± 3.85</td>
<td>3.05 ± 4.56</td>
<td>4.37 ± 1.56</td>
<td>1.09 ± 2.47</td>
<td>2.66 ± 2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT-P (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>60.37 ± 18.61</td>
<td>59.36 ± 19.43</td>
<td>67.98 ± 17.33</td>
<td>51.24 ± 16.96</td>
<td>48.24 ± 10.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender N (%)</td>
<td>189 (36.9)</td>
<td>39 (46.4)</td>
<td>77 (30.4)</td>
<td>35 (38.9)</td>
<td>38 (45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psych. anamnesis N (%)</td>
<td>392 (76.7)</td>
<td>80 (95.2)</td>
<td>165 (65.2)</td>
<td>79 (87.8)</td>
<td>68 (80.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal attempts N (%)</td>
<td>39 (7.63)</td>
<td>4 (4.7)</td>
<td>17 (6.7)</td>
<td>7 (7.8)</td>
<td>11 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics N (%)</td>
<td>166 (32.5)</td>
<td>84 (100)</td>
<td>11 (4.3)</td>
<td>30 (33.3)</td>
<td>41 (48.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood stabilizers N (%)</td>
<td>157 (30.7)</td>
<td>19 (22.6)</td>
<td>9 (3.6)</td>
<td>87 (96.7)</td>
<td>61 (72.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants N (%)</td>
<td>283 (55.4)</td>
<td>15 (11.9)</td>
<td>244 (96.4)</td>
<td>31 (34.4)</td>
<td>8 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapy N (%)</td>
<td>105 (20.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>51 (20.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>42 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of schizophrenia N (%)</td>
<td>84 (16.4)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of major depression N (%)</td>
<td>253 (49.5)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of bipolar disorder N (%)</td>
<td>90 (17.6)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of BPD N (%)</td>
<td>84 (16.4)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| N = number; MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; BP = Bipolar Disorder; BPD = Borderline Personality Disorder; DAI 10 = Drug Attitude Inventory-10; CGI – S = Clinical Global Impression- Severity; SAT- P = Satisfaction Profile; PSP = Personal and Social Performance.

Table 2: Results of multiple regression analysis in the whole sample of 511 patients and in the four subgroups: schizophrenia, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and borderline personality disorder. Dependent variable ΔPSP. P ≤ 0.05 *; P ≤ 0.01 **

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total sample</th>
<th>Schizophrenia</th>
<th>MDD</th>
<th>BD</th>
<th>BPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal attempts</td>
<td>-2.39**</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>0.03**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S</td>
<td>-1.54**</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.16*</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.27**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT-P</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09**</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAI-10</td>
<td>3.88**</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.72**</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; BP = Bipolar Disorder; BPD = Borderline Personality Disorder; DAI 10 = Drug Attitude Inventory-10; CGI – S = Clinical Global Impression- Severity; SAT- P = Satisfaction Profile; PSP = Personal and Social Performance, SE = Standard Error. |
severity of psychiatric symptoms and the presence of suicide attempts were inversely related to the change of PSP score and predicted a worse real-world functioning. Concerning age at onset, literature findings are concordant with our data and indicate that patients with early-onset of disease have prominent deficits in functional outcome [30], probably due to the impact of the illness in a critical period of development, when specific social roles (such as independent living, work capacities, and secondary social relationships) and neurocognitive functions are still taking shape [31,32].

Several investigations have shown significant relations between patients’ functioning and quality of life, in terms of individual’s physical health status, psychological status and well-being, and social interaction [33,34]. In particular, quality of life was found to be an important outcome indicator and predictor of symptomatic and functional improvement in schizophrenia [35]. Some authors, in line with our methodological choice, suggested that self-reporting measures of quality of life are more appropriate than measures reported by clinicians [30,36,37]. Our findings are substantially in agreement with the previous studies outlining that improvement of real-world functional outcomes was significantly related to patients’ global life satisfaction [38], and better daily functioning is one of the indicator of recovery and better quality of life [39]. We found that a better subjective perception of quality of life was significantly related to PSP improvement in the whole sample of patients and in each diagnostic category, except for BPD. We can hypothesize that in BPD patients intense feelings of anger and dysphoria and persistent mood instability are likely to impair patients’ ability to appreciate and to take advantage in daily functioning from a better quality of life.

In the present study, another important factor that was identified as a predictor of improvement of daily functioning, was a psychotherapeutic intervention. In fact, the two subgroups of patients with major depressive disorder and with borderline personality disorder, that were treated with interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT), showed a larger PSP change. Several previous investigations have shown that psychotherapies had positive effects in improving real-world functioning in psychiatric disorders [40-43]. In particular, interpersonal psychotherapy was found efficacious in improving the community interpersonal functioning in depressed patients [44,45]. Concerning BPD, limited research has focused on improvement of functional outcomes after psychotherapy. In fact, the majority of studies of specific psychotherapies for BPD patients were aimed to assess the efficacy of interventions in terms of decrease of symptoms severity [46,47]. Nevertheless, BPD patients have a high level of interpersonal reactivity and instability that produce a severe impairment in daily functioning [48]. So, it is not surprising that patients who receive IPT, a psychotherapeutic model specifically aimed at improving interpersonal functioning, present a significant overall improvement of daily functioning after one year.

In our investigation patients with a more severe degree of psychiatric symptoms showed a reduced daily functioning change during one year of usual treatment in the total sample and in each diagnostic category, again except for BPD. This finding is expected and intuitive as individuals with a higher level of symptoms producing a significant functional impairment have fewer resources to achieve and maintain the real-world milestones. Literature confirmed our results reporting significant relationships between severity of symptom domains and functional outcome in schizophrenia and mood disorders [49-57]. The lack of significant relationships between PSP change and severity of global symptoms in BPD patients might depend on the considerable heterogeneity of BPD symptomatology that would produce unstable and unpredictable effects on the real-world functioning.

As for the influence of suicidal behaviors on everyday functioning, a growing number of studies demonstrated that patients with a history of suicide attempts showed impaired functioning abilities [58], but no investigations have yet considered the impact of suicidality on the change of functioning level. In our study, we found that patients, with a higher number of suicide attempts, in particular subjects with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder, showed a lower degree of improvement in the community functioning. An interpretation of this result considers data indicating that patients with a history of suicidal acts present lower abilities in domains of neurocognitive functioning [58]. Thus, they show a reduced cognitive flexibility and a limited opportunity to change their real-world daily-living skills.

In our investigation we also evaluated whether the attitude to pharmacotherapy may predict
the daily functioning improvement. Only in the subgroup of patients with schizophrenia a positive attitude to pharmacological treatment was significantly related to real-world functioning change in one year of observation. So, in the group of patients with schizophrenia a better attitude and adherence to antipsychotic treatment recommended by major guidelines produced a more pronounced effect (that reached the statistical significance) on improvement of real-life functioning. This result is concordant with data from Mohr and colleagues [59], who found that functional performances correlated positively with subjective satisfaction with medications and, in particular, the best level of functioning was linked to treatment with antipsychotics. In another investigation comparing schizophrenic responder, schizophrenic resistant and non-schizophrenic patients, authors concluded that poor adherence to drug therapy may predict poor psychosocial functioning in both subgroups of schizophrenic patients [60]. Adherence to drug therapy, at least in patients with schizophrenia, appears a more important factor to change real-world functioning than drug treatment itself, as administration of different classes of drugs was never found significant in regression analyses.

This study suffered from some limits. A few demographical and clinical data were retrospectively collected due to the naturalistic design of this study. We did not use specific psychopathological rating scales for each diagnostic category, but the same clinical global impression measure for all the diagnoses. So, we cannot determine whether specific clusters of symptoms influenced the real-world functioning change. There may be additional clinical predictors that were not considered in our sample and that may play an important role in patients’ long-term functional outcome. Despite these limitations, there are some strengths of our investigation that should be noticed: the large number of patients and the naturalistic design that avoids the selection biases of randomized controlled designs. Indeed, since data from randomized controlled trials provide outcome measures in a relatively homogenous population under artificial conditions, it is important to verify these results in real-world settings.

In summary, our naturalistic study was aimed to identify in a large sample of outpatients, including subjects with schizophrenia, bipolar disorders, major depressive disorder, and borderline personality disorder, whether demographic and clinical variables predict a change in the real-world functioning. We found that in the whole sample and in each diagnostic category, except for BPD, a higher degree of global symptoms was inversely related to the change of PSP score and predicted a worse community functioning. A history of suicide attempts was also associated to reduce functioning improvement in the total sample and in depressed patients. In each diagnostic category, except in BPD, a better subjective perception of quality of life was related to the change of the dependent variable. Patients with major depressive disorder and with borderline personality disorder that received interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) showed a higher degree of functional improvement. A positive attitude and adherence to pharmacological treatment was significantly related to the real-world functioning improvement only in schizophrenia, but not in the other subgroups. Further studies aimed to identify predictors of real-world functioning in samples of patients with psychiatric disorders are required to replicate our findings. Data on this topic can be useful to promote a more accurate evaluation of patients in order to obtain a better functional outcome.
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