A Comparison of Different Time Windows for the Detection of Cardiac Right-To-Left Shunt Using Contrast-Enhanced Transcranial Doppler Ultrasonography
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Contrast-enhanced transcranial Doppler ultrasonography (c-TCD) is highly sensitive for detecting cardiac right-to-left shunt (RLS). However, the time window to be used with c-TCD for cardiac shunt detection is yet to be standardized. The aim of our study was to standardize the time window to enable easy and widespread use of c-TCD for cardiac shunt detection.

Methods: A total of 239 consecutive patients with suspected cardiac RLS were subjected to simultaneous c-TCD and contrast-enhanced transthoracic echocardiography (c-TTE). We evaluated time windows of 15-, 20-, 25-, 30-, 35-, and 40-s duration for c-TCD. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses and Spearman’s rho rank correlations were performed for the different time windows to calculate the areas under the curve (AUCs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs).

Results: The AUC, and thus the diagnostic accuracy, was highest for the 25-s window (AUC, 0.746; 95% CI, 0.684–0.809). The 15-s window (0.667; 95% CI, 0.598–0.737; P < 0.05) had the least AUC. Spearman’s rho rank correlation identified the 25-s window (rho, 0.627; 95% CI, 0.534–0.708) as having the strongest correlation between c-TCD and c-TTE.

Conclusion: The 25-s window is ideal for c-TCD-aided detection of cardiac RLS.
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Introduction

A cardiac right-to-left shunt (RLS) is a common condition with a prevalence of 25% [1]. It is present in up to 40% of stroke patients [2], and is more common in the young. A paradoxical embolism through a cardiac shunt is a well-known cause of cerebrovascular stroke, although the mechanism is unclear. Cardiac RLS is also associated with other conditions such as cryptogenic stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), decompression sickness, and migraine [3-6].

Contrast-enhanced transcranial Doppler ultrasonography (c-TCD) is a sensitive and specific method for detecting cardiac RLS [7]. However, the examination protocol for c-TCD is yet to be standardized. Its results may be influenced by several factors including contrast
mechanically agitate a mixture of 9 mL saline solution, 1 mL air, and a drop of the patient’s blood for a minimum of 30 times [12], and injected into the left cubital vein through an 18-G catheter as a bolus immediately after the mixture was prepared.

Contrast studies were repeated thrice, once at rest and twice with the patient performing VM. Each test involved injection of the contrast agent at 0 s, with the patient performing VM for a duration of 10 s, starting at 5 s. There was a minimum interval of 5 minutes between tests, as measured from the last observed MB. RLS was estimated based on the highest number of MBs detected in each case during VM.

All patients were trained to perform an effective VM, and its effectiveness during a contrast study was confirmed by a reduction in the MCA-peak systolic velocity of > 25%.

Data interpretation

MBs were defined as chirping sounds and high-intensity, short-duration, unidirectional signals within the Doppler flow spectrum. The appearance of MBs in the MCA was recorded based on a five-level categorization (International Consensus Criteria for RLS degree) as: negative, no MBs; grade I, 1–10 MBs; grade II, 11–25 MBs; grade III, > 25 MBs but no curtain; and grade IV, curtain of MBs (impossible to count the number of MBs) [13,14]. Cardiac RLS was considered when at least three MBs appeared in the left atrium within three cardiac cycles following the complete opacification of the right atrium [15-17]. The appearance of MBs in the left atrium was recorded based on a five-level categorization as: negative, no MBs; grade I, 3–9 MBs; grade II, 10–30 MBs; grade III, > 30 MBs; and grade IV, opacification of the left atrium.

c-TTE results were analyzed online, and further reviewed offline by a cardiologist. Online and offline interpretation of the c-TCD data was performed by Neurosonologists who were blinded to the c-TTE results.

Statistical analyses

All data were processed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses was used to estimate the diagnostic utility of different time windows. The Spearman’s rho rank correlation coefficient was used to analyze the correlation between c-TCD and c-TTE categorizations for different time windows. Results were reported as areas
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under the ROC curve (AUCs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Furthermore, the time of first appearance of MBs in cardiac RLS patients was calculated both at rest and during VM. Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

- Diagnostic accuracy of different time windows (ROC curve analysis)

ROC curve analysis was used to estimate the diagnostic performance of different time windows for detecting cardiac RLS on c-TCD. The results of c-TTE for ROC curve analysis were recorded as dichotomous outcomes (cardiac RLS: 0, negative; 1, positive). There were no significant differences in the AUCs between the different time windows, with patients at rest ($P > 0.05$). On VM, the AUC for the 15-s window ($0.667$; 95% confidence interval [CI], $0.598–0.737$) was significantly smaller than the AUCs for the other time windows ($P < 0.05$). Although the AUCs for the 20-, 25-, 30-, 35-, and 40-s windows during VM were similar ($P > 0.05$), diagnostic accuracy for cardiac shunt detection was best with the 25-s window (AUC, $0.746$; 95% CI, $0.684–0.809$). This time window had the largest AUC during VM (Figure 1).

- Correlation between c-TCD and c-TTE (Spearman’s rho rank correlation)

We analyzed the correlation between RLS categorization by c-TCD and c-TTE at different time windows during VM. The correlation between c-TCD and c-TTE appeared to be better at the 25-s window than at the other time windows (Table 1), although this difference was not significant ($P > 0.05$).

- Time of appearance of the first MBs

We analyzed a total of 186 positive tests (at rest, 75; during VM, 109) in cardiac RLS patients. The mean values for the time from injection to the appearance of the first MBs in the right MCA blood flow were $12.0 ± 6.3$ s and $13.1 ± 6.7$ s at rest and during VM, respectively. Among the 186 positive tests, in 180 (96.8%), the first MBs were detected within 25 s following the injection (Figure 2). During VM, MBs appeared beyond the 25-s window in only 4 (3.7%) of the 109 patients who had positive tests; 2 of them had a single MB detected in only one of the three tests.

Discussion

We attempted to determine the optimal time window for detecting cardiac RLS with c-TCD. The choice of a standard time window for cardiac shunt detection is controversial. A variety

![Figure 1: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the diagnostic performance of different time windows for contrast-enhanced transcranial Doppler ultrasonography (c-TCD).](image)

a) At rest  b) During Valsalva maneuver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time windows</th>
<th>15-s</th>
<th>20-s</th>
<th>25-s</th>
<th>30-s</th>
<th>35-s</th>
<th>40-s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>0.461–0.648</td>
<td>0.525–0.702</td>
<td>0.534–0.708</td>
<td>0.508–0.693</td>
<td>0.516–0.700</td>
<td>0.528–0.708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The Spearman’s coefficient of rank correlation (Rho) between c-TCD and c-TTE at different time windows during VM.
of time limits, from 4–15 s to 40 s, have been recommended for various conditions [11,18-20]. The identification of RLS may be considerably influenced by any variation in the time windows, and an appropriately chosen time window may increase the diagnostic accuracy of c-TCD. Our results recommend the 25-s time window for c-TCD-aided detection of cardiac RLS. The AUC at 25 s was larger than that at 15, 20, 30, 35, and 40 s, implying that the results obtained at 25 s were the most accurate. Time windows shorter than 25 s had higher false-negative results, while those longer than 25 s had higher false-positive results. Further, there was a significant reduction in the predictive competence and reliability of results at the 15-s time window during VM, suggesting a low diagnostic accuracy.

We further analyzed the correlation between RLS gradations obtained on c-TCD and c-TTE at different time windows. Only a few studies have directly analyzed the correlation between c-TCD and c-TTE for detecting cardiac RLS. We found a moderate correlation between c-TCD and c-TTE for the time windows ranging from 15 s to 40 s, with the 25-s window demonstrating the highest correlation. These findings are in support of our choice of the 25-s time window for diagnosing cardiac RLS in clinical practice.

MBs were detected within the first 25 s following injection in most of the positive tests (96.8%). However, in 3.7% of patients, MBs were detected beyond the 25-s time window during VM. We are unable to explain the clinical significance of this finding. There are studies that suggest that only large RLS are pathological, and that the smaller shunts may remain innocuous [21]. However, the minimum number of MBs that indicates clinically relevant RLS is yet to be established [22].

An important concern was if the 25-s monitoring window with c-TCD could differentiate cardiac RLS from pulmonary RLS (pRLS). Some authors have suggested that pRLS should be considered in cases of delayed detection of MBs [11,23]. Jauss et al. [11] suggested that a diagnosis of patent foramen ovale should be considered if at least one MB appears within 25 s of injection, and a diagnosis of pulmonary shunt if MBs are detected later than 25 s. Accordingly, some studies have attempted to determine a “cut-off time-interval” to distinguish the two types of shunts [24]. However, Horner et al. [25] reported that the difference in the meantime-intervals, from cubital injection of the contrast to its detection in the MCA, between cardiac (11 s) and pulmonary shunts (14 s) was small, and not statistically significant. Further, a study by Droste et al. [20] demonstrated that it may be impossible to definitively discriminate between the two shunts by c-TCD, owing to their overlapping time-intervals for the first appearance of MBs in the cerebral circulation. Therefore, although the delayed appearance of MBs may be suggestive of pRLS, there is no evidence in support of a clear “cut-off time-interval”. Thus, with the current technology, the time window does not contribute to the differentiation between cardiac and pulmonary shunts.

Our study has important clinical implications. c-TCD is the method of choice for confirming...
cardiac RLS. In addition to being non-invasive and safe, c-TCD is a rapid bedside investigation that can be performed by a single investigator. It is the only technique that can detect the passage of emboli in the brain circulation following intravenous contrast agent injection. Our study identified the 25-s time window as being optimal for c-TCD-aided detection of cardiac RLS. The identification of a single, reliable time window will simplify c-TCD examination and facilitate the acceptance of this technique in the broader clinical community.

This study had several limitations. First, we did not perform contrast-enhanced transesophageal echocardiography (c-TEE) [26], which is considered as the gold standard investigation for the diagnosis of cardiac RLS. However, the purpose of this study was to determine the ideal time window for detecting cardiac shunts with c-TCD, and not to determine the precise cause of RLS. c-TEE is relatively invasive, time-consuming, and expensive, and may not be applicable for the detection of RLS in developing countries. We performed c-TTE with second harmonic imaging, which is a method used by most hospitals to detect cardiac RLS, and is reported to have sensitivity and specificity that is comparable to that of c-TEE [27,28]. Our study was also limited by its small sample size. Further studies with larger numbers of patients are needed to confirm our results.

In conclusion, our study identified the 25-s time window as being optimal for the detection of cardiac RLS by c-TCD, based on ROC analyses and correlation with c-TTE findings.
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